		SUPTIMER COUNT YAVADA L DATY, ASIZONA
1	Larry A. Hammond, 004049	YANYA E DATE OF A
2	Anne M. Chapman, 025965 OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.	2010 MAR 10 PM 4: 04
3	2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor	JEANI E MCAS, CLERK S. FIELDS
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 (602) 640-9000	BY:
5	lhammond@omlaw.com	D1
	achapman@omlaw.com	
6 7	John M. Sears, 005617 P.O. Box 4080	
8	Prescott, Arizona 86302	
9	(928) 778-5208	
	John.Sears@azbar.org	
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	
13	IN AND FOR THE CO	JOHN TO TAVALA
14	STATE OF ARIZONA,	) No. P1300CR20081339
15	Plaintiff,	) Div. 6
16	vs.	) MOTION TO PRECLUDE LATE
17	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	) DISCLOSED EVIDENCE
18	STEVEN OF MICCELE PERIODERES,	) (Oral Argument Requested)
19	Defendant.	)
20		)
21		_)
22	<u>MOTION</u>	
23		
	Steven DeMocker, by and through counsel, hereby respectfully requests that this	
24	Court exclude evidence from the trial in this matter that the State late disclosed to the	
25	defense on March 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2010. This motion is based on the Due Process Clause,	
26	the Confrontation Clause, the Eighth Amen	dment and Arizona counterparts, Arizona
27		

Rules of Evidence, Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure and the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

#### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

A detailed history of the State's disclosure violations has been provided to the Court in prior pleadings and will not be duplicated here. It does bear repeating that the cumulative nature of these violations - where the disclosure deadline in this case was set by the Court on May 12, 2009 for June 22, 2009 and the State has disclosed over 24,000 pages in February and now over 700 pages in March of 2010 - has crippled the defense's ability to prepare for trial, review the disclosure, research and hire its own experts, and prepare to confront the State's evidence in a death penalty case that has been pending for over a year and a half. Trial is now less than eight weeks away.

The Court should exclude the following evidence based on its late disclosure.

The evidence was known to the State well before the disclosure deadline and the State failed to exercise due diligence to request and disclose the evidence to the defense. The State has not offered any good cause for its failure to exercise due diligence. The late disclosure has prejudiced the defense's ability to prepare for trial, as outlined above and in prior motions. The evidence should therefore be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7.

### 1. Account Records for Account ending in 2663 JP Morgan Chase

Almost three hundred pages for a JP Morgan Chase account ending in 2663 were disclosed to the defense on March 4, 2010. This was known to the State at least as early as June 2009. (See bates number 5763). The State has not offered any explanation for its disclosure of this evidence in March of 2010, over nine months after it was aware of the account and well after the disclosure deadline set by the Court. The defense is not able to review and evaluate this evidence, in addition to the tens of thousands of other pages of late disclosure, prepare this evidence for defense experts and confront the

State's evaluation of this evidence with less than eight weeks to trial in a death penalty case that has been pending for over a year. This evidence should be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7 in the absence of good cause shown for its late disclosure.

# 2. Subpoena for Girard Phone records of activity from June 17 – June 21, 2009

On March 4, 2010 the State disclosed phone records for Ms. Girard between June 17 and June 21, 2009 to the defense. The State did not subpoena records for Ms. Girard until February 2010. The State has been aware of Ms. Girard and her relationship with Mr. DeMocker since June 2008. In the absence of good cause demonstrated for its failure to request and disclose this evidence in accordance with the Court's disclosure deadline or at least earlier than 8 months after the fact, it should be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7.

# 3. Outdoor Pro Link Information and Request to FBI (18723-24, 18880-18881, 18913)

On March 4 and 5, 2010 the State disclosed information from Outdoor Pro Link, La Sportiva and a request to the FBI regarding Mr. DeMocker's purchase of shoes. This information directly relates to the witnesses, information, investigation and report that the State withheld from Mr. DeMocker from October 2009 through February of 2010 regarding shoe print evidence and La Sportiva shoes. The facts of this withheld disclosure have been briefed and argued to the Court in other pleadings. The State has also now requested additional testing by the FBI and identified for the FBI a disclosure deadline of April 5. The State has provided shoes to the FBI that are not the shoes in question and no such similar shoes have been provided to the defense. This evidence should likewise be excluded based on the State's withholding of evidence while the issue was being litigated before the Court and given the State's interference with the defense ability to investigate the case.

### 4. Chase Bank Information re account ending in 9408 (18770-28854)

On March 4, 2010 the State disclosed over 75 pages of documents from a subpoena issued on February 8, 2010 for information from a Chase Bank account ending in 9408. This account was known to the State as of November of 2008. (See bates number 1264). The State offers no explanation for its failure to request this information until over a year after the account was known. In the absence of good cause, this information should be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7.

### 5. UBS Resource Account ending in 6347

On March 5<sup>th</sup> the State disclosed to the defense a subpoena to UBS and letter response indicating a CD had been provided to the State (although the CD has not been disclosed to the defense). The subpoena requested documents regarding an account ending in 6347. This subpoena was not issued until February 16, 2010. The State was aware of this account since at least November of 2009 (see bates number 14402) and has offered no good cause for its failure to exercise due diligence in requesting this information. This information should be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7 based on the State's failure to timely investigate and disclose. Additionally, the State has failed to provide the responsive CD to the defense.

## 8. Emails between Mr. DeMocker and info@enjoyprescott (18953-18957)

On March 5, 2010 the State disclosed emails that appear to have been in the State's possession since September 2008 between Mr. DeMocker and "Cherie" regarding a rental property. The State provides no good cause for its failure to disclose these documents until more than a year after it possessed them and they should be excluded pursuant to Rule 15.7 absent such a showing.

Rule 15.7 gives the Court wide discretion in imposing a sanction. The State should not be permitted to thwart the Court's disclosure deadlines until mere weeks before a death penalty trial when the Court made clear in May of 2009 that extensions

1 for disclosure would be granted only where good cause was shown. The permitted 2 sanctions under Rule 15.7 include precluding or limiting the calling of a witness, use of 3 evidence or argument; dismissing a case; granting a continuance or declaring a mistrial; 4 holding counsel in contempt; imposing costs; or other appropriate sanctions. This Court 5 should exclude the late disclosed evidence based on the pattern of conduct evidenced by 6 the State in this case. CONCLUSION 8 Defendant Steven DeMocker, by and through counsel, hereby requests that this 9 Court prohibit the State from offering late disclosed evidence as described above. 10 DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010. 11 12 13 By: John M. Sears 14 P.O. Box 4080 Prescott, Arizona 86302 15 16 **OSBORN MALEDON, P.A.** Larry A. Hammond 17 Anne M. Chapman 2929 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100 18 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 19 Attorneys for Defendant 20 21 ORIGINAL of the foregoing hand delivered for 22 filing this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010, with: 23 Jeanne Hicks 24 Clerk of the Court Yavapai County Superior Court 25 120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303 26

27

28

	.]
1	
2 3	<b>COPIES</b> of the foregoing hand delivered this this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010, to:
3	
4	The Hon. Thomas B. Lindberg Judge of the Superior Court
5	Division Six
6	120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303
7	Joseph C. Butner, Esq.
8	Prescott Courthouse basket
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	